

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY

December 27, 1996

MOTION DOCKET

96-42. Pennsylvania Power Co. v. Lake Cty. Bd. of Revision. Board of Tax Appeals, No. 95-K-841. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, effective December 26, 1996, that this cause be, and hereby is, consolidated with Supreme Court case Nos. 96-38, 96-39, 96-40, 96-41 and 96-43.

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

95-2139. Dayton Bar Assn. v. Overman.

On February 22, 1996, this court permanently disbarred respondent, John Overman, a.k.a., John Laurence Overman, and ordered him to immediately cease and desist the practice of law in Ohio, surrender his Certificate of Admission and attorney registration card, file an affidavit of compliance and pay board costs in the amount of \$9,119.62. On May 23, 1996, this court ordered respondent to show cause why he should not be held in contempt for failure to comply with the court's order of February 22, 1996. On June 6, 1996, movant, Disciplinary Counsel, filed a motion for an Order to Show Cause and an Order to Appear, and on August 7, 1996, this court granted the motion and ordered respondent to show cause in writing, by August 27, 1996, why he should not be held in contempt. Respondent did not respond and on September 25, 1996, this court found respondent in contempt and ordered him to appear in person before this court at 9:00 a.m. on October 8, 1996. Respondent failed to appear as ordered. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court, effective December 26, 1996, that warrants be issued for the arrest of respondent, John Overman, a.k.a., John Laurence Overman, Attorney Registration No. 0044025, last known address in Kettering, Ohio, to the Sheriffs of Montgomery and Butler Counties, and to the sheriffs of such other counties as the contemnor may frequent.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent business address respondent has given to the Attorney Registration Office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, effective December 26, 1996, that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

96-694. State v. Richey.

Franklin App. No. 95APC06-742. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due November 15, 1996, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective December 26, 1996.

96-2538. State ex rel. Cain v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 95APD09-1188. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed, effective December 26, 1996.