

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

1:00 P.M.

WEDNESDAY
September 3, 1997

DISMISSALS, SUA SPONTE, NO SUBSTANTIAL
CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION AND DISCRETIONARY
APPEALS, IF APPLICABLE, NOT ALLOWED

97-1011. Broadnax v. Greene Credit Serv.
Greene App. No. 96CA113.
Pfeifer, J., dissents.

MOTION DOCKET

96-2301. State v. Palmer.
Belmont App. No. 89-B-28. This cause is pending before the
court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Belmont County.
Upon consideration of appellant's objection to appearance of
Assistant Franklin County Prosecuting Attorney Joyce S.
Anderson,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the objection to appearance
of Assistant Franklin County Prosecuting Attorney Joyce S.
Anderson be, and hereby is, denied, effective September 2, 1997.

DISCRETIONARY APPEALS NOT ALLOWED

97-1035. Walter v. Allstate Ins. Co.
Richland App. No. 96CA84.
Douglas, Resnick and F.E. Sweeney, JJ., dissent.

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

1997 TERM

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. :

John Martin Coyne
(#0026540),
Respondent.

:

E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the "commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1994-1995 reporting period.

On April 14, 1997, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), this court entered an order adopting the recommendation of the commission, suspending the respondent from the practice of law and imposing a fee sanction upon the respondent.

On July 22, 1997, the commission filed a motion to vacate, requesting that the order of April 14, 1997, pertaining to the above-named respondent, be vacated. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to vacate be, and hereby is, granted, effective September 2, 1997.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the order of April 14, 1997, pertaining to respondent, is hereby vacated and this cause is dismissed.