

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
March 4, 1999

MOTION DOCKET

97-1117. Simmons-Harris v. Goff.

Franklin App. Nos. 96APE08-982 and 96APE08-991. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal and cross-appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. Upon consideration of the motion of appellants/cross-appellees, Hope for Cleveland's Children et al., to file an additional authority,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to file an additional authority be, and hereby is, granted.

Moyer, C.J., and Douglas, J., dissent.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that Hope for Cleveland's Children et al. shall file the citation to the additional authority within seven days of the date of this entry.

William R. Baird, J., of the Ninth Appellate District, sitting for Cook, J.

William W. Young, J., of the Twelfth Appellate District, sitting for Lundberg Stratton, J.

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

96-2428. Akron Bar Assn. v. Snyder.

On March 26, 1997, this court indefinitely suspended respondent, Scott W. Snyder, and ordered that within ninety days, respondent shall reimburse any amounts awarded against him by the Clients' Security Fund. The court further ordered that if after the date of its March 26, 1997 suspension order, the Clients' Security Fund awarded any amount against respondent, the respondent shall reimburse the Clients' Security Fund within ninety days of the notice of such award. On April 21, 1998, the Clients' Security Fund filed a motion for leave to file a motion for an order to show cause, which this court granted. On July 27, 1998, the Clients' Security Fund filed a motion for order to show cause, and on October 12, 1998, this court found respondent in contempt and referred the matter to the Attorney General for collection. On January 7, 1999, the fund filed a motion for amendment of the court's October 12, 1998 order, requesting that it not be required to refer the matter to the Attorney General unless respondent fails to pay the fund directly. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED by the court that the motion be, and hereby is, granted.

97-1754. Disciplinary Counsel v. Johnson.

On February 19, 1999, respondent Inza E. Johnson filed an application for reinstatement to the practice of law. Respondent attached to her application a document titled "Objections to the Findings and Recommendation of the Board." Whereas objections to the findings and recommendations of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline were due no later than September 25, 1997, respondent's submission of the objections at this time is prohibited by S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(1)(C). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that respondent's application for reinstatement be, and hereby is, stricken.

98-783 and 98-1793. Disciplinary Counsel v. Brown.

On April 22, 1998, in case No. 98-783, *Disciplinary Counsel v. Thomas C. Brown*, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline certified its final report to this court recommending that respondent, Thomas C. Brown, Attorney Registration No. 0024054, last known business address in Geneva, Ohio, be publicly reprimanded. On October 5, 1998, respondent filed a motion to remand. On December 8, 1998, this court granted respondent's motion and remanded case

No. 98-783 to the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline for an evidentiary hearing.

On August 31, 1998, in case No. 98-1793, *Disciplinary Counsel v. Thomas C. Brown*, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline certified its final report to this court, recommending that respondent be indefinitely suspended. On October 5, 1998, respondent filed objections to the board report and oral argument was held before the court on December 15, 1998. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that case No. 98-783, *Disciplinary Counsel v. Thomas C. Brown*, and case No. 98-1793, *Disciplinary Counsel v. Thomas C. Brown*, be, and hereby are, consolidated. It is further ordered by the court that the consolidated cases be remanded to the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline for further proceedings under Gov.Bar. R. V(7).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by this court that the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline appoint a mental health professional to evaluate respondent with respect to respondent's competency to practice law. It is further ordered that the mental health professional report his or her findings to the board within thirty days with respect to respondent's competency to practice law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, *sua sponte*, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.