

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
May 19, 2000

MOTION DOCKET

98-2449. State v. Issa.

Hamilton C.P. No. B9709438. This cause is a death penalty appeal from the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas. On November 30, 1998, this court stayed execution of the death sentence pending disposition of this appeal. On May 11, 2000, appellant's counsel in his post-conviction proceedings filed a motion for stay of execution of the death sentence pending disposition of available state remedies. Whereas execution of appellant's death sentence has been stayed by this court,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for a stay of execution be denied as moot.

99-1769. Painesville Bldg. Dept. v. Dworken & Bernstein Co., L.P.A.

Lake App. No. 98-L-223. This cause is pending as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lake County. On April 19, 2000, this court allowed appellee thirty days in which to file its brief. On April 25, 2000, this court set oral argument for June 7, 2000. In order to assure that briefing pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. VI is completed in advance of oral argument,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that appellant shall file its reply brief, if any, no later than May 30, 2000.

MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET

In re Report of the Commission on Continuing Legal Education.	:	
	:	
	:	E N T R Y
Craig Edward Cullen, (#0031128),	:	[Filed May 19, 2000]
Respondent.	:	

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the “commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1992-1993 reporting period.

On August 11, 1995, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3), and Gov.Bar R. X(5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X, Sec. 7.

On May 12, 2000, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On May 12, 2000, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission be adopted and respondent, Craig Edward Cullen, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.