

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

July 9, 2002

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2001-0096. Sain v. Roo.

Franklin App. No. 99AP-1459. This cause came on for further consideration upon appellants' motion for relief from the sanctions judgment and order; appellee's motion to strike, or, in the alternative, memo opposing the motion for relief from the sanctions judgment and order; appellee's motion for sanctions for frivolous action; and appellants' motion for sanctions for frivolous action against K.Z. Roo and attorneys Sarah Morrison and Guy Humphrey.

IT IS ORDERED by the court that appellee Roo's motion for sanctions for frivolous conduct be, and hereby is, granted. Appellee shall submit an itemized statement of attorney fees and costs within 20 days of the date of this entry.

Resnick and F.E. Sweeney, JJ., dissent.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that appellants' motion for relief from the sanctions judgment and order; appellee's motion to strike, or, in the alternative, memo opposing the motion for relief from the sanctions judgment and order; and appellants' motion for sanctions for frivolous action against K.Z. Roo and attorneys Sarah Morrison and Guy Humphrey be, and hereby are, denied.

Douglas and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur except that they note that the motion to strike is moot.

Pfeifer and Cook, JJ., concur except that they would grant the motion to strike.

The entry in this case announced on July 3, 2002, at 96 Ohio St.3d 1436, 2002-Ohio-3344, 770 N.E.2d 1047, is corrected by the above entry.