

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

April 10, 2002

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

99-226. State ex rel. Osco Industries, Inc. v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 97APD11-1443. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.

00-1963. Parrish v. Parrish.

Ross App. No. 98CA2470. *Sua sponte*, cause dismissed as having been improvidently allowed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer and Cook, JJ., concur.

Resnick and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., dissent.

00-2255. State ex rel. Johnson v. Hilltop Basic Resources, Inc.

Franklin App. No. 99AP-926. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.

01-233. Middletown v. Flinchum.

Butler App. No. CA99-11-193. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, F.E. Sweeney and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.

Douglas and Cook, JJ., concur in judgment.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

01-1057. State v. Campbell.

Franklin C.P. No. 97CR-04-2020. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.

01-1325. State ex rel. Shemo v. Mayfield Hts.

In Mandamus. Writ granted.

Moyer, C.J., Resnick, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.

F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., concur but would also award attorney fees.

Douglas, J., concurs in judgment.

01-1822. Cleveland Bar Assn. v. Henley.

On Final Report of the Board of Commissioners on the Unauthorized Practice of Law, No. UPL004. Respondent is enjoined from further actions that constitute the authorized practice of law.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.

01-1895. State ex rel. Johnson v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.

Scioto App. No. 01CA2801. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.