

The Supreme Court of Ohio

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

FRIDAY
February 22, 2002

MOTION DOCKET

01-1632. State ex rel. Earls v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 00AP-1201. On January 18, 2002, appellant filed a merit brief and supplement to the brief and Robert S. Corker filed a notice of appearance on behalf of appellant. Robert S. Corker is admitted to practice in Ohio but is not currently registered pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VI. Whereas Robert S. Corker is not registered active as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. I(1),

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the name of Robert S. Corker be stricken from appellant's brief and supplement to the brief, and he shall not be permitted to appear in this case.

01-1820. Francis v. Mack.

In Habeas Corpus. This cause came on for further consideration upon petitioner's request for findings of fact/conclusions of law. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the request for findings of fact/conclusions of law be, and hereby is, denied.

02-284. Austermiller v. Dosick.

Lucas App. No. L-01-1223. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On February 14, 2002, appellant filed an amended memorandum in support of jurisdiction without a copy of the court of appeals opinion and judgment entry being appealed. S.Ct.Prac.R. III(1)(D) requires that a copy of the court of appeals opinion and judgment entry being appealed be attached to the memorandum. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, *sua sponte*, that the amended memorandum in support of jurisdiction be, and hereby is, stricken.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

01-2187. Clorox Co. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 00-T-1009, 00-T-1010 and 00-T-995. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

02-118. State ex rel. Energy Cooperative, Inc. v. Pub. Util. Comm.

In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of relators' application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.