

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

November 19, 2004

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2004-1750. Israfil v. Court of Appeals, First Appellate Dist.

In Prohibition. This cause is pending before this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of prohibition. On November 12, 2004, respondent First District Court of Appeals filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. Under S.Ct.Prac.R. X(5), respondent First District Court of Appeals was required to file an answer or a motion to dismiss on or before November 10, 2004. Whereas S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(1)(C) prohibits untimely filings,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that respondent First District Court of Appeals' motion to dismiss be, and hereby is, stricken.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2002-1102. Disciplinary Counsel v. Connors.

On December 18, 2002, this court permanently disbarred respondent, John Joseph Connors, Jr. On October 25, 2004, respondent filed an amended motion for new trial based on prosecutorial misconduct. Relator filed a memo opposing the motion. On consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the motion be, and hereby is, denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the Clerk of this court shall refuse future filings by respondent that seek to reopen this matter based on the case of *Sidney Lewis v. Kentucky Fried Chicken*, Franklin C.P. No. 98CVA04-3207.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2004-1471. State ex rel. Parker v. Allen.

Hamilton App. No. C-040401. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County. It appears from the records of

this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due October 25, 2004, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

2004-1484. Equistar Cleveland Co., L.L.C. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2002-J-2430, 2002-J-2598, 2002-J-2599 and 2002-J-2600. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

2004-1935. State v. Schroyer.

Clermont App. No. CA2004-08-064. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of the court that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due November 15, 2004, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.