

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

February 26, 2007

[Cite as *02/26/2007 Case Announcements, 2007-Ohio-755.*]

---

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

### **2006-0830. Elyria Foundry Co. v. Pub. Util. Comm.**

Public App. No. 05-1127-EL-UNC. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of the motion to withdraw amicus curiae brief of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

### **2006-1594. Indus. Energy Users-Ohio v. Pub. Util. Comm.**

Public App. No. 05-376-EL-UNC. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Upon consideration of the joint motion to extend time for oral argument,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

### **2006-1609. Solon v. Mazala.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 87055. This cause came on for further consideration of appellant's motion to stay the implementation of the dismissal of this case. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

## MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission on  
Continuing Legal Education.

Thomas Hugh Baughman,

Case No. CLE-1990-34360

(#0034360)  
Respondent.

ORDER

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the “commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1988-1989 reporting period.

On February 8, 1991, the court issued an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Respondent filed no objections to the commission’s recommendation. On July 19, 1991, the court imposed a monetary sanction on respondent.

On January 12, 2007, respondent filed a motion to vacate the court’s July 19, 1991 order. On January 22, 2007, the commission filed a memo opposing respondent’s motion to vacate.

It is ordered by the court that respondent’s motion to vacate is denied.

In re Report of the Commission on  
Continuing Legal Education.

CLE-1992-34360

Thomas Hugh Baughman,  
(#0034360)  
Respondent.

ORDER

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (the “commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1990-1991 reporting period.

On November 20, 1992, the court issued an order to show cause why the recommended sanction should not be adopted by the court and an order so entered against the respondent. Respondent filed no objections to the commission’s recommendation. On June 18, 1993, the court imposed a monetary sanction and suspended respondent.

On January 12, 2007, respondent filed a motion to vacate the court's June 18, 1993 order. On January 22, 2007, the commission filed a memo opposing respondent's motion to vacate.

It is ordered by the court that respondent's motion to vacate is denied.