

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

April 4, 2013

[Cite as *04/04/2013 Case Announcements, 2013-Ohio-1322.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2008-2370. State v. Neyland.

Wood C.P. No. 2007CR0359. This cause is pending before the court as a death-penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Wood County.

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that the clerk of court for Wood County shall supplement the record with all jury questionnaires within ten days of the date of this entry.

In re Howard.

On September 24, 2004, this court found Gregory T. Howard to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.03. This court further ordered that Howard was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in the court without obtaining leave. Howard has presented three motions for leave to file documents in case No. 2003-0636.

It is ordered by the court that the motions for leave to file documents are denied.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

1998-0719. Disciplinary Counsel v. Harris.

It is ordered by this court, sua sponte, that Paula Castle Harris, Attorney Registration No. 0009317, last known business address in Cleveland, Ohio, is found in contempt for failure to comply with this court's order of November 10, 1998, to wit: failure to surrender her 1997/1999 attorney-registration card on or before December 10, 1998.

2008-1708. Cleveland Metro. Bar Assn. v. Polke.

This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing by respondent of a motion to modify on March 20, 2013. Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2013-0460. Perry v. Williams.

Hamilton App. No. C-120120. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal. The records of this court indicate that appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due March 25, 2013, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.

It is further ordered that appellant's motion for stay of the court of appeals' judgment is denied as moot.