

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 2, 2013

[Cite as *05/02/2013 Case Announcements*, 2013-Ohio-1778.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2011-0778. State v. Noling, Slip Opinion No. 2013-Ohio-1764.

Portage App. No. 95 CR 220. Judgment reversed and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lanzinger, Kennedy, and Klatt, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell and French, JJ., dissent.

William A. Klatt, J., of the Tenth Appellate District, sitting for O'Neill, J.

2012-0251. State ex rel. Nese v. State Teachers Retirement Bd. of Ohio, Slip Opinion No. 2013-Ohio-1777.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-1161, 2011-Ohio-6764. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lanzinger, Kennedy, and Ringland, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, O'Donnell, and O'Neill, JJ., dissent.

Robert P. Ringland, J., of the Twelfth Appellate District, sitting for French, J.

2012-0830. State v. Davis, Slip Opinion No. 2013-Ohio-1748.

Summit App. No. 25826, 2012-Ohio-1440. The cause is dismissed as having been improvidently accepted.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Kennedy, and French, JJ., concur.

Lanzinger and O'Neill, JJ., dissent.

2012-1464. State ex rel. Culgan v. Collier, Slip Opinion No. 2013-Ohio-1762.

Medina App. No. 12CA0064-M. Judgment reversed, and writ granted.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

2012-1711. Disciplinary Counsel v. Detweiler, Slip Opinion No. 2013-Ohio-1747.

On Certified Report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 11-065. William Jeffrey Detweiler, Attorney Registration No. 0039269, is suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for one year.

O'Connor, C.J., and O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, and French, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer and O'Neill, JJ., dissent and would impose a one-year suspension with six months stayed.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2013-0274. State ex rel. Swanson v. Maier.

In Quo Warranto. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of quo warranto.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to seal the evidence submitted by relator, or, in the alternative, to compel relator to redact evidence and for sanctions and attorney fees, it is ordered by the court that the motion to compel relator to redact evidence is granted.

Further, it is ordered by the court that counsel for both relator and respondent review both relator's and respondent's submissions of evidence and assist each other in discovering personal identifiers in both submissions that require redaction.

Counsel for both relator and counsel shall come to the Supreme Court of Ohio clerk's office and redact all personal identifiers, as defined by Sup.R. 44(H) and S.Ct.Prac.R. 3.12, in their respective submissions of evidence, within ten days of the date of this entry. Counsel for both relator and respondent shall also file with the court a personal identifier form as required in S.Ct.Prac.R. 3.12(B)(2).

Further, the motion for sanctions and attorney fees is denied.

2013-0513. In re Ohio Edison Co., Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co., and Toledo Edison Co. for Auth. to Provide for a Std. Serv. Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143, in the Form of an Elec. Security Plan.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, No. 12-1230-EL-SSO. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Upon consideration of the motion of Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company for leave to intervene as appellees, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.