

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

August 30, 2013

[Cite as *08/30/2013 Case Announcements*, 2013-Ohio-3746.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2013-0711. State v. Belew.

Lucas App. No. L-11-1279, 2013-Ohio-1078. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lucas County.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to view sealed exhibits, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Counsel for appellant may come to the Supreme Court of Ohio Clerk's Office to view the sealed exhibits, but shall not make copies of the documents.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2013-0938. In re Resignation of Westfall.

On application for resignation from the practice of law of James Walter Westfall Jr., Attorney Registration No. 0029420, and on report filed under seal by disciplinary counsel. Resignation accepted with disciplinary action pending.

Lanzinger, J., dissents, would not accept the resignation, and would have the disciplinary action proceed.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

CLE-1998-40880. In re Report of Comm. on Continuing Legal Edn.

Teresa Ann Earley, Attorney Registration No. 0040880, respondent.

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b)

and (2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1996/1997 reporting period.

On June 25, 1999, this court entered an order against respondent adopting the recommendation of the commission that respondent be ordered to pay a sanction in the amount of \$720 for failure to comply with Gov.Bar R. X during the 1996/1997 reporting period.

On May 13, 2013, the commission filed a motion to vacate, requesting that the order of June 25, 1999, pertaining to the above-named respondent, be vacated. Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the motion to vacate is granted.

It is further ordered by the court that the order of June 25, 1999, pertaining to respondent is vacated and this cause is dismissed.