

\$189.12.

{¶4} 4) On March 22, 2004, plaintiff filed a response to defendant's investigation report. Plaintiff accepted the damage assessment of \$189.12, but requested the court grant an additional \$250.00 for the loss of legal mail, letters, cards, and photographs. Plaintiff did not offer proof to establish he possessed these items and that the items were lost or stolen while under defendant's control.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶5} 1) Although not strictly responsible for a prisoner's property, defendant had at least a duty of using the same degree of care as it would use with its own property. *Henderson v. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility* (1979), 76-0356-AD.

{¶6} 2) Plaintiff has the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he suffered a loss and that this loss was proximately caused by defendant's negligence. *Barnum v. Ohio State University* (1977), 76-0368-AD.

{¶7} 3) Plaintiff must produce evidence which affords a reasonable basis for the conclusion defendant's conduct is more likely than not a substantial factor in bringing about the harm. *Parks v. Department of Rehabilitation and Correction* (1985), 85-01546-AD.

{¶8} 4) Negligence on the part of defendant has been shown in respect to the loss of plaintiff's property. *Baisden v. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility* (1977), 76-0617-AD.

{¶9} 5) Plaintiff has failed to prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, additional property (legal mail, letters, cards, and photographs) were lost or stolen as a proximate result of any negligent conduct attributable to defendant. *Fitzgerald v. Department of Rehabilitation and Correction* (1998), 97-10146-AD.

{¶10} 6) The court finds defendant liable to plaintiff in the

amount of \$189.12, plus the \$25.00 filing fee, which may be reimbursed as compensable damages pursuant to the holding in *Bailey v. Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction* (1990), 62 Ohio Misc. 2d 19.

{¶11} Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of plaintiff in the amount of \$214.12, which includes the filing fee. Court costs are assessed against defendant. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT
Deputy Clerk

Entry cc:

David Clark, #426-329
5900 B.I.S. Road
Lancaster, Ohio 43130

Plaintiff, Pro se

Marc C. Houk, Warden
Southeastern Correctional
Institution
5900 B.I.S. Road
Lancaster, Ohio 43130

For Defendant

DRB/RDK/laa
4/28
Filed 5/7/04
Sent to S.C. reporter 6/3/04